

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Kirkman
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1285889
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Include files	PFEAlanKirkman_Redacted.pdf
Family Name	Kirkman
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1285889
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>This is of no way correctly monitored and evidence given for the health and environmental impact this will have on boothstown there is only one road in and out of boothstown that is already overcrowded and constantly jam packed with hgv cars cans every morning releasing emissions as they are not moving by already having the RHS Worsley site bringing more traffic congestion there is no more capability for our roads. When I lived to boothstown 39 years ago the endless opportunities for our children to play was amazing fields to play football and explore hardly any traffic able to walk without fear of bot being seen by a car speeding through the village as parking becomes constantly illegal due to not enough spaces.</p> <p>The fact that boothstown can no longer be called a village due to the mass expansion of high love stage 1 and 2 the moorings Estates and we only have 2 primary schools that do not have enough spaces for allem the children in the area we now have only 1 dentist and 2 doctors no relief roads for traffic but you want to build more houses without ensuring there is enough vital infrastructure to support an ever increasing pipulation as you attempt to build more houses which in no way are affordable boothstown was a mining village and council estate area that was affordable housing the houses you are building are no nearer affordable to the average person working in Salford when they are being priced at 350-450 thousand pounds each no up to date surveys have been completed except during the lockdowns who h do bot give a true and clear picture of the pollution and devastation this will have to the area crime has increased ten fold due to the building of more big posh houses that is attracting the attention form nationwide thrives to our village therefore please look to leave our remaining fields and wildlife free from houses and if you do look to build build more schools more doctors more dentists so we have vital infrastructure build a relief road to stop heavy traffic</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	speeding through and polluting our village build more recreational space and facilities for the children to play
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Nothing can be done as we already have no space for wildlife deer have been moved from their natural habitat due to rhs Worsley they are drowning in. The canals being run over by cars as nowhere else to go but towards an urban environment</p> <p>Stop building on green belt and look around the areas where shops offices and run down council estates which there are many of in Salford where you can renovate proper that already exists rather than taking what green areas are left</p>
Family Name	Kirkman
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1285889
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>This is of no way correctly monitored and evidence given for the health and environmental impact this will have on boothstown there is only one road in and out of boothstown that is already overcrowded and constantly jam packed with hgv cars cans every morning releasing emissions as they are not moving by already having the RHS Worsley site bringing more traffic congestion there is no more capability for our roads. When I lived to boothstown 39 years ago the endless opportunities for our children to play was amazing fields to play football and explore hardly any traffic able to walk without fear of bot being seen by a car speeding through the village as parking becomes constantly illegal due to not enough spaces.</p> <p>The fact that boothstown can no longer be called a village due to the mass expansion of high love stage 1 and 2 the moorings Estates and we only have 2 primary schools that do not have enough spaces for allem the children in the area we now have only 1 dentist and 2 doctors no relief roads for traffic but you want to build more houses without ensuring there is enough vital infrastructure to support an ever increasing pipulation as you attempt to build more houses which in no way are affordable boothstown was a mining village and council estate area that was affordable housing the houses you are building are no nearer affordable to the average person working in Salford when they are being priced at 350-450 thousand pounds each no up to date surveys have been completed except during the lockdowns who h do bot give a true and clear picture of the pollution and devastation this will have to the area crime has increased ten fold due to the building of more big posh houses that is attracting the attention form nationwide thrives to our village</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	therefore please look to leave our remaining fields and wildlife free from houses and if you do look to build build more schools more doctors more dentists so we have vital infrastructure build a relief road to stop heavy traffic speeding through and polluting our village build more recreational space and facilities for the children to play
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Nothing can be done as we already have no space for wildlife deer have been moved from their natural habitat due to rhs Worsley they are drowning in. The canals being run over by cars as nowhere else to go but towards an urban environment Stop building on green belt and look around the areas where shops offices and run down council estates which there are many of in Salford where you can renovate proper that already exists rather than taking what green areas are left
Family Name	Kirkman
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1285889
Title	JPA 28: North of Irlam Station
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	.
Family Name	Kirkman

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1285889
Title	JPA 29: Port Salford Extension
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	.